

June 01, 2020

Dennis Cronin  
Scott & Cronin, LLP  
330 Encinitas Blvd # 101  
Encinitas, CA 92024-8705

Dear Dennis Cronin:

It is my pleasure to notify you that on May 19, 2020, the California Peer Review Committee accepted the report on the most recent System Review of your firm. The due date for your next review is December 31, 2022. This is the date by which all review documents should be completed and submitted to the administering entity.

As you know, the report had a peer review rating of pass. The Committee asked me to convey its congratulations to the firm.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

*Peer Review Committee*

Peer Review Program  
The Peer Review Program Team  
peerreview@calcpa.org  
650-522-3094

cc: Michael Mangold

Firm Number: 900010029175

Review Number: 570860



## Report on the Firm's System of Quality Control

January 27, 2020

To the Partners of Scott & Cronin, LLP and the Peer Review Committee of the California

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Scott & Cronin, LLP (the firm) in effect for the year ended June 30, 2019. Our peer review was conducted in accordance with the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews established by the Peer Review Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (Standards).

A summary of the nature, objectives, scope, limitations of, and the procedures performed in a System Review as described in the Standards may be found at [www.aicpa.org/prsummary](http://www.aicpa.org/prsummary). The summary also includes an explanation of how engagements identified as not performed or reported in conformity with applicable professional standards, if any, are evaluated by a peer reviewer to determine a peer review rating.

### Firm's Responsibility

The firm is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The firm is also responsible for evaluating actions to promptly remediate engagements deemed as not performed or reported in conformity with professional standards, when appropriate, and for remediating weaknesses in its system of quality control, if any.

### Peer Reviewer's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the firm's compliance therewith based on our review.

### Required Selections and Considerations

Engagements selected for review included an audit of an employee benefit plan, and the examination of service organization (SOC1 engagement).

As a part of our peer review, we considered reviews by regulatory entities as communicated by the firm, if applicable, in determining the nature and extent of our procedures.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Scott & Cronin, LLP in effect for the year ended June 30, 2019, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Firms can receive a rating of *pass*, *pass with deficiency(ies)* or *fail*. Scott & Cronin, LLP has received a peer review rating of *pass*.

*Lance, Solt & Lingham, LLP*